PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	_ PCT				
To: TROSINO, James 268 Bush Street, No. 3434 San Francisco, CA 94104 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA RECEI	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
MAY 3 1	2005 (PCT Rule 44.1)				
	(day/month/year) 24/05/2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference					
EFIM0375P	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No.	International filing date				
PCT/US2005/006877	(day/month/year) 04/03/2005				
Applicant					
ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC.					
1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filling of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit to rilling such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Bearch Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Wher? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application, will be published by the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90b/s.1 and 90b/s.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of th					
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NI.–2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Authorized officer Ainhoa Barrio Baranano				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international phulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims):
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220		
EFIM0375P	ACTION	as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year,			
PCT/US2005/006877	04/03/2005 24/03/2004			
Applicant	-			
			1	
ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, I	INC.			
This international Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra		rching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant u.		
This International Search Report consists	of a total of <u>4</u> sh	eets.	ŀ	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	cited in this report.		
language in which it was filed, unl	ess otherwise indicated under this		and to	
this Authority (Ru		of a translation of the international application furnish	led to	
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequenc	disclosed in the international application, see Box No	o. 1.	
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).			
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).			
4. With regard to the title ,				
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant.			
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as fol	ows:		
{				
1				
5. With regard to the abstract,				
 	ubmitted by the applicant.	this Authority as it appears in Day No. 11/ The applica	nat	
may, within one month fr	sned, according to Hule 38.2(b), by om the date of mailing of this Interr	this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applica ational search report, submit comments to this Author	ity.	
6. With regard to the drawings,				
a. the figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is Figur	9 No1		
as suggested by	the applicant.			
	nis Authority, because the applicant	falled to suggest a figure.		
	nis Authority, because this figure be	tter characterizes the invention.		
b. none of the figures is to I	be published with the abstract.			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2005/006877

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04L29/08

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 - H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC, COMPENDEX, IBM-TDB

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
х	US 2004/003058 A1 (TROSSEN DIRK) 1 January 2004 (2004-01-01) paragraph '0006! - paragraph '0007! paragraph '0019! - paragraph '0032! figures 1-6	1-24
X	EP 1 263 171 A (HITACHI, LTD) 4 December 2002 (2002-12-04) paragraph '0015! - paragraph '0024! figures 1-7	1-24
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Patent family member	s are listed in annex.

Special categories of cited documents: A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.	"T" fater document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention			
 "E" earlier document but published on or after the International filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family 			
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the International search report			
12 May 2005	24/05/2005			
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer			
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Homan, P			

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2005/006877

	ction) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Delevert to stein the
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	ZHU F ET AL: "Classification of Service Discovery in Pervasive Computing Environments" MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 2002, pages 1-17, XP002328060 EAST LANSING Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.cse.msu.edu/{zhufeng/ServiceDiscoverySurvey.pdf> the whole document	1-24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2005/006877

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
US 2004003058	A1	01-01-2004	AU EP WO	2003240201 1516263 2004003775	A1	19-01-2004 23-03-2005 08-01-2004	
EP 1263171	A	04-12-2002	WO EP	0167689 1263171		13-09-2001 04-12-2002	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2005/006877 04.03.2005 24.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L29/08 Applicant ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Homan, P

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6990



European Patent Office

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/006877

	Box	No.	1 Basis of the opinion
1.			ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in lage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following uage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ler Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.			ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe o	f material:
		∃ a	a sequence listing
	[⊐ t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	orma	t of material:
	[⊐ i	n written format
	נ	⊐ i	n computer readable form
	c. ti	me c	f filing/furnishing:
	Į	–	contained in the international application as filed.
	[□ 1	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[1	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has cop	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.
4	Δdr	dition	al comments.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-13,15-24

No:

Claims 1

1,14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-24

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2004/0003058 A1 D2: EP 1 263 171 A1

- The subject-matter of claim 14, as far as it is clear, does not fulfill the requirement of novelty in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D1 discloses a directory server ("Local Service Discovery Agent", figs. 1, 4, 5) connectable to a first network, the first network connectable to a network device ("Service Provider", figs. 1, 4, 5) that comprises information identifying an address of the network device on the first network, the directory server comprising:
 - a memory (fig. 3); and
 - a message processor (fig. 3) adapted to receive an identification message ("Service Reg. Msg.", fig. 4) from the network device, the identification message comprising the address, the message processor adapted to parse the identification message to retrieve the address (implicit feature of SIP protocol, see RFC 3261) and store the address in the memory.
- 2.2 It should be noted that the subject-matter of claim 14 is also not new vis-à-vis to the disclosure of document D2 (par. [15]-[24]; figs. 1-7).
- 3 Claim 1 is an even broader representation of claim 14. Consequently, the novelty objection also applies to claim 1.
- Dependent claims 2-13 and 15-24, as far as they are clear, do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet

the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

4.1 The additional features of claims 2-13 and 15-24 represent minor implementation details.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application (form or content)

- The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses to increase the intelligibility of the claims (Rule 6.2(b) PCT). This applies to both the preamble and the characterising portion (see the Guidelines, par. 5.11).
- The documents D1 and D2 are not identified in the description and their relevant content is not indicated (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT). Furthermore, following from the disclosure of D1 and D2, the statement indicating the technical problem to be solved does not take into account the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT (see also the Guidelines, par. 4.05).
- The statement "... spirit of this invention" on page 25, line 12 of the description implies that the subject-matter for which the protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims (Guidelines, par. 5.30).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application (clarity, see Article 6 PCT)

- The application comprises multiple independent claims of the same category and therefore does not meet the requirement of conciseness (Guidelines, par. 5.42). Thus, a single independent claim should be used per category (Guidelines, par. 5.14).
- 2 Claim 1 is due to the term "coupled" unclear, because it is not clear whether a protection is sought for a directory server, a first network, or a network device (Guidelines, 5.37).
 - This similarly applies to claim 14.
- 3 There is no antecedence for "the apparatus" in claims 2-13 and 15-24.
- 4 Claims 2-5 and 15-18 are unclear because they try to further define the directory server by disclosing additional features of the network device, which does not form a part of the directory server (Guidelines, 5.37).
- Claims 6-7 and 19-20 are unclear because they try to further define the directory server by disclosing additional features of the first network, which does not form a part of the directory server (Guidelines, 5.37).
- 6 Claim 8 is unclear because it seeks to further define the directory server by reference to another entity, by disclosing relations of the directory server to the first and second network. Furthermore, claim 8 tries to further define the directory server by disclosing additional features of the second network, which does not form a part of the directory server (Guidelines, 5.37).
- 7 Claims 9 and 22 are unclear because they try to further define the directory server by disclosing additional features of the network device and the first network (Guidelines, 5.37).

- The expression "within the firewall" in claims 9 and 22 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers.
- 9 Claims 10 and 23 are unclear because they seek to further define the directory server by reference to another entity (firewall), which does not form a part of the directory server. Furthermore, claims 10 and 23 try to further define the directory server by disclosing additional features of the first network (Guidelines, 5.37).
- 10 The expression "outside the firewall" in claims 10 and 23 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers.
- 11 Claims 13, 21, and 24 are unclear because they try to further define the directory server by disclosing additional features of the first and second network (Guidelines, 5.37).